

Meeting note

INSW New Sydney Fish Market Early Works CCC Meeting six

Project	New Sydney Fish Market	Date	3 March 2021
Ref	Community Consultative Committee	Time	4:30pm – 5:05pm
Chair	Kiara Neasy, Infrastructure NSW	Recorder	Anna Collins, Elton Consulting
Attendees	Nicola Frowen, Dragon Boats NSW Mark Tietjen, Glebe Rowing Club Greg Lin, Infrastructure NSW Jennifer Chang, Infrastructure NSW Humfrey Whitaker, Infrastructure NSW Greg Spencer, Hansen Yuncken Daniel Yarrow, Hansen Yuncken Malcolm Morrison, The Strata Committee of 84 Wentworth Park Rd David Maher, Multiplex Paul Couani, Multiplex	Apologies	Leiza Lewis, Sydney Secondary College Vlad Popovski, Infrastructure NSW Lauren Drummond, Sydney Fish Market

Item	Discussion Point
1.	Welcome and introductions <ul style="list-style-type: none">» Kiara welcomed all participants, noted apologies and made an acknowledgement of country.» Kiara introduced representatives from main works contractors Multiplex:<ul style="list-style-type: none">> David Maher, Senior Project Manager> Paul Couani, Project Manager» Kiara noted that Multiplex were attending the CCC as a preliminary meet and greet and the formal forum for discussion with Multiplex will be the main works CCC» Malcolm welcomed the Multiplex team and introduced himself as a member of the 84 Wentworth Park Rd strata committee<ul style="list-style-type: none">> Noted that the committee are managing ongoing dust and noise complaints from their residents> Iterated that the strata committee would welcome Multiplex installing a noise monitoring device at 84 Wentworth Park Rd, to monitor noise levels during main works
2.	Action items from the last meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none">» Kiara noted that there were no outstanding items to action from the previous meeting» Kiara added an action for INSW to organise a meeting on site between the Sydney Secondary School and Multiplex<ul style="list-style-type: none">> ACTION: Vlad to organise meeting onsite
3.	Early works update and lookahead – Hansen Yuncken

-
- » Early works and demolition activities remain on schedule
 - » The team has been progressing in each area of the site – so far, the team has demolished and removed:
 - > 100% of the Hanson Wharf deck
 - > 100% of the Finger Wharf deck
 - > 100% of the Blackwattle Bay (Precast) Wharf deck
 - > 100% of the Coal Loader Structure.
 - » Key works that occurred last month include:
 - > Demolition of the boundary block wall & installation of Class A Hoarding on Bridge Rd
 - > Relocation of the site compound
 - > Complete removal of the wharf deck slabs
 - > Stockpiling and processing of wharf material
 - > Carting processed material off site
 - > Pile removal
 - » Upcoming work:
 - > Processing of demolition material
 - > Pile removal

4. **Managing Impacts**

- » Since commencement of construction, we have been working actively to mitigate impacts on the surrounding community, some of key measures include:

Dust

- » Management techniques on site include wet saw concrete cutting and wet vacuuming of remnants, active dust monitoring, regularly wetting down stockpiles and work surfaces, covering any vehicles carrying spoil or rubbish, manually washing equipment wheels and reducing dust generating activities during windy periods
- » We have additionally laid asphalt on the road base areas to seal them, reducing dust from this area. There is also a sweeper constantly clearing the worksite from any mud or dirt
- » These mitigations are part of how work is completed on site, rather than just completed on windy days.

Noise

- » Active noise monitoring
- » Noisy works, as defined in the project approval, are limited to:
 - > Monday-Friday 9am-12pm and 2pm-5pm, and
 - > Saturday 9am-12pm.

Community Consultation

- » Notification issued to residents on Wednesday 17 February
 - » Opportunity for residents, business owners and community members to provide feedback on early works
-

-
- » Important part of consultation ahead of main works to ensure all stakeholders can provide feedback to INSW
 - » EOI closed Tuesday 23 February 2021
 - > INSW noted that while the EOI closed on Tuesday 23, if neighbouring stakeholders still want to organise a meeting to provide feedback on early works, please reach out
-

5. **Group discussion, questions and comments**

Q – Malcolm: On Saturday 6 February, chair of the strata committee Graeme Milton contacted Petra about a noise complaint. Petra indicated that she would investigate the query. As of now she hasn't despite sending emails the last two days before the meeting. So, has she gone away? Or is it that the contract with the community engagement consultants is no longer valid.

- » Anna noted that Petra is off today as she has a family member who is sick.
 - > Petra had indicated earlier that afternoon she was going to issue the response to Graeme
 - > Anna explained she would follow up on the status of the response
 - > Noted that Daniel would speak to the nature of the enquiry in greater detail
- » Daniel provided further clarification on the complaint raised by Graeme Milton in relation to the complaint relating to Saturday 6 February:
 - > Saturday 6 February marked the first day worked commenced on the southern section of site
 - > This included relocation of the site compound to the location of the current fish markets
 - > Hansen Yuncken had not carried out construction on the southern section of the site prior to this date
 - > This would have impacted resident's perception and experience of the noise
 - > The same vibratory extraction of pile heads has been carried out on Saturdays, at other areas of site throughout early works
 - > Further to this, all noisy works (as per SSD conditions) were limited to the approved period on Saturday 6 February which is between 9-12pm

Q - Malcolm: When you're using vibratory means to extract piles do you think that's considered noisy works? Your consent talks about rock breaking, rock hammering, sheet piling, pile driving, and similar activities. It would seem to me, that using a vibratory head to pull out piles would be considered a similar activity.

- » Daniel responded that he understood it to be a different activity
- » Greg asked for further clarification on the question?

Q - Malcolm: Why did works start yesterday (02/03) at 7:20am? Why did works continue through into the lunch break? The strata committee believe this work is outside of approved noise levels, and upon further investigation believe it would fall within the noisy works category, particularly given it is so similar to pile driving, sheet driving ... "and similar activities".

- » Daniel explained that the condition stipulates noisy works can only be carried out between 9-12pm & 2pm-5pm on weekdays and 9-12pm on Saturdays
 - » Rock breaking, rock hammering, sheet piling *and similar activities* cannot be undertaken outside of these specified period as they are considered noisy works
-

Q - *Malcolm*: So as far as the strata committee is concerned, they are within the category of noisy works and we've had many complaints from our residents. The committee would like you to stick within your consent conditions which is, noisy works can only be carried out between 9-12pm and 2-5pm on weekdays and 9-12pm on Saturdays.

- » Greg acknowledged the strata's concern and committed to further investigation of the matter and reporting back to the group. In the meantime, all work would comply with SSD conditions.

Q - *Malcolm*: The strata committee asked for Hansen Yuncken to limit works, including vibratory pile removal to 9-12pm and 2-5pm until it's been further investigated.

- » Greg iterated that Hansen Yuncken would adhere to the approved conditions and investigate further
- » Greg indicated that it would likely take a week to download data, access information and investigate

Q - *Malcolm*: Explained that a week wasn't an acceptable timeframe for a response to the issue and the strata committee would require an answer sooner. Otherwise, there's no incentive to respond, just like Petra had no incentive to respond.

- » Greg reinforced that Petra has a great incentive to respond and is very diligent and just because she can't be here today to clarify the matter didn't mean she hadn't, or, wasn't going to respond.
- » Anna provided further clarification that Petra received the complaint on Monday 8 February and responded to Graeme via phone on Tuesday 9 providing a verbal response.
 - > A follow up email was received on Monday 1 March re-questioning the noise results on the specified day
 - > As such this was considered a new matter in the complaints register.

Q - *Kiara*: The chair requested, that the community engagement team check with Petra that a response has been issued and ask for further clarification about why there was a delay in issuing the response

- » Anna confirmed Petra had been contacted for further clarification. Petra confirmed that a verbal response to the complaint on 8 February was provided via a phone call to Graeme. A written response to the follow up complaint on 1 March was being drafted at the time of this meeting and will be provided as soon as it is finalised.

Q - *Greg*: If the strata committee consider works to be in breach of consent conditions then ultimately this would need to be formally lodged through INSW and DPIE.

- » Humfrey noted that ultimately DPIE set conditions, so it's not INSW's call as much its DPIE's
 - » INSW can check with the Department about what they've considered noisy works.
 - » INSW understand that it's the strata committees' position, that pile extraction through vibratory means is a similar activity, the key question is does it generate similar amount and type of noise?
 - > Rock breaking is considered an annoying noise opposed to the actual levels of noise it produces.
-

-
- > That is why there is limited times for these specific types of work, to give people a break from “annoying noises”
 - » Malcolm reiterated on several occasions that the preference of the strata committee is to limit vibratory removal of piles to the approved noisy works period.
 - » INSW will take the conversation with Hansen Yuncken offline, to discuss what the impact would be to restrict works to those timeframes until a determination has been made by DPIE to lessen the impact to residents.

ACTION: INSW committed to responding to the strata committee within 24 hours to provide confirmation if works can be restricted until a determination is made formally on conditions.

6. Continuing and Upcoming Work

- » Over the next few months much of the work on site will remain the same. This will include:
 - > Processing of demolition material
 - > Pile extraction
 - > Loading out demolition to recycling facilities

Q: Malcolm – How long will the pile extraction continue in the area you’re undertaking it?

- » Daniel and Greg explained there are number of variables behind the pile removal process so not sure of more exact timeframes at this point.

7. Keeping in touch

Communications tools

- » Work notifications by letterbox drop
- » Stakeholder meetings as required
- » Attending these CCC meetings
- » Available via phone or email
- » [insw.com/newsydneyfishmarket](https://www.insw.com/newsydneyfishmarket)

Works notifications

- » Community consultation - feedback on early works impacts
- » Complaints register
- » http://www.infrastructure.nsw.gov.au/media/2798/nsfm_complaints-register_february2021.pdf

Contact us

- » Project mailbox: blackwattle.bay@infrastructure.nsw.gov.au
- » Project phonenumber: 1800 962 221
- » CCC for the early works will wrap up then taken over by main works CCC
- » Complaints register, to be updated shortly for 2021

8. Meeting close

- » The next meeting is scheduled for **Wednesday April 7** at 4:30pm
 - » The group agreed this was a suitable time to meet
-

» Meeting was closed at 5:05pm.
